

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT SEATTLE

6 CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

7 Plaintiff(s),

8 v.

9 HIPCRICKET, INC.,

10 Defendant(s).

NO. C08-908MJP

ORDER ON MOTION TO REVISE
CASE SCHEDULE


11 The Court, having received and reviewed the Stipulated Request for Order Changing Time
12 (Dkt. No. 71), makes the following findings:

- 13
- 14 1. The motion contains no specific (or insufficient) facts to establish good cause
for a continuance/revision and/or
 - 15 2. The motion provides no suitable explanation as to why the existing case
schedule deadlines were not met.

16 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the request to revise the existing case schedule is
17 DENIED. The Court also notes, for the benefit of the parties and their counsel, that it has every
18 intention of commencing trial on the date noted in the case scheduling order. It is extremely rare that
19 a case goes to trial on a date other than its scheduled start date.

20 The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to all counsel of record.

21 Dated: November 7, 2008

22
23 
24 Marsha J. Pechman
25 U.S. District Judge